Astroglial excitability and gliotransmission: an appraisal of Ca$^{2+}$ as a signalling route
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ABSTRACT

Astroglial cells, due to their passive electrical properties, were long considered subservient to neurons and to merely provide the framework and metabolic support of the brain. Although astrocytes do play such structural and housekeeping roles in the brain, these glial cells also contribute to the brain’s computational power and behavioural output. These more active functions are endowed by the Ca$^{2+}$-based excitability displayed by astrocytes. An increase in cytosolic Ca$^{2+}$ levels in astrocytes can lead to the release of signalling molecules, a process termed gliotransmission, via the process of regulated exocytosis. Dynamic components of astrocytic exocytosis include the vesicular-plasma membrane secretory machinery, as well as the vesicular traffic, which is governed not only by general cytoskeletal elements but also by astrocyte-specific IFs (intermediate filaments). Gliotransmitters released into the ECS (extracellular space) can exert their actions on neighbouring neurons, to modulate synaptic transmission and plasticity, and to affect behaviour by modulating the sleep homeostat. Besides these novel physiological roles, astrocytic Ca$^{2+}$ dynamics, Ca$^{2+}$-dependent gliotransmission and astrocyte-neuron signalling have been also implicated in brain disorders, such as epilepsy. The aim of this review is to highlight the newer findings concerning Ca$^{2+}$ signalling in astrocytes and exocytotic gliotransmission. For this we report on Ca$^{2+}$ sources and sinks that are necessary and sufficient for regulating the exocytotic release of gliotransmitters and discuss secretory machinery, secretory vesicles and vesicle mobility regulation. Finally, we consider the exocytotic gliotransmission in the modulation of synaptic transmission and plasticity, as well as the astrocytic contribution to sleep behaviour and epilepsy.
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INTRODUCTION

The first description of neuroglia was made by Rudolf Virchow as a ‘substance... which lies between the proper nervous parts, holds them together and gives the whole its form in a greater or lesser degree’ (Virchow, 1858). The
original concept of glia being just a goo soon after was refined to rather receive cellular attributes, as glial cells were stained using ‘reazione nera’ and visualized by Camillo Golgi (Golgi, 1873). A subset of these cells was termed astrocytes by Michael von Lenhossek (Lenhossek, 1891). Interestingly, the gold chloride stain, used by Santiago Ramón y Cajal in 1913 to visualize astrocytes, targets IFs (intermediate filaments; Kimelberg, 2004), which consist mainly of GFAP (glial fibrillary acidic protein) that is today used as an astrocytic marker, while its promoter became a prime tool in molecule genetics approaches (e.g. Pascual et al., 2005; Casper et al., 2007) to study the role of astrocytes in the operation of the brain. It is at the beginning of the 20th century that glial research was pushed aside to a great extent by the victory of the neuronal doctrine with neurons being the sole determinant of the brain signalling. Of course, the fact that glial cells lack classical electrical excitability was a contributing factor. Following somewhat less than three quarters of a century of neglect, there has begun a period of about the past three decades during which extraordinary evidence has mounted to present astrocytes as an integral component of the brain operation. Owing to the development of fluorescent Ca2+ indicators, with non-disruptive intracellular loading, for optical measurements of cellular free Ca2+ (Tsien, 1980, 1981; Grynkiewicz et al., 1985; Minta et al., 1989), in 1990, Cornell-Bell et al. (1990) made a seminal observation that activation of GluRs (glutamate receptors) in astrocytes raised their cytosolic Ca2+ levels. This finding was expanded to the observation that astrocytes with their Ca2+-responsiveness have the ability to ‘sense’ glutamatergic synaptic transmission (Dani et al., 1992). This was followed by the discovery that astrocytic Ca2+ dynamics can yield astrocyte–neuron signalling, with two initial studies immediately pointing to the complexity of this intercellular communication by describing two divergent underlying mechanisms: direct, perhaps using gap junctions (Nedergaard, 1994); and indirect utilizing glutamate released from astrocytes via Ca2+-dependent exocytosis (Papura et al., 1994). The later path has led to the discovery of giotransmission–based modulation of synaptic transmission (Araque et al., 1998a) and formulation of the concept that three, pre- and post-synaptic neuronal along with glial elements constitute a synapse (Kettenmann et al., 1996), whose structural–functional partnership was termed the tripartite synapse (Araque et al., 1999). An additional level of complexity in the role of giotransmission was then the finding that the Ca2+-dependent release of vasoactive agents from astrocyte endfeet is at the basis of neurovascular coupling (Zonta et al., 2003) and that vesicles carrying giotransmitters are subject to regulation (Stenovec et al., 2007). This represents the current view of synaptic physiology where astrocytes are actively involved in the brain’s computational power. The aim of this review is to highlight up-to-date newer findings with respect to Ca2+ signalling in astrocytes and giotransmission. We start with the description of Ca2+ sources and sinks that provide the astrocytic intracellular Ca2+ dynamics necessary and sufficient for regulation of exocytotic release of giotransmitters. This is followed by a discussion on secretory machinery which underlies this release mechanism, and a description of secretory vesicles in astrocytes. It is the traffic of these organelles throughout the astrocyte which ultimately results in vesicular fusion to the plasma membrane that liberates giotransmitter(s) into the ECS (extracellular space). It should be noted, however, that exocytosis also acts as a delivery route for insertion and retrieval of, for instance, receptors at the plasma membrane. Subsequently, we discuss exocytotic giotransmission in modulation of synaptic transmission and plasticity, as well as astrocytic contribution to sleep behaviour. Finally, we describe the consequences of astrocytic Ca2+-excitability in epilepsy, as an example of a brain disorder with astrocytes as an aetiology share holder.

Astroglial Ca2+ excitability: Ca2+ sources and sinks

Calcium, rather than electrical, signalling is generally considered a substrate for glial excitability (Deitmer et al., 1998; Verkhratsky et al., 1998; Agulhon et al., 2008). Calcium intracellular signalling system is present in virtually all living cells, being highly evolutionarily conserved (Case et al., 2007; Verret et al., 2010). In eukaryotes, Ca2+ signalling can couple input to output, i.e. plasma membrane excitation to muscle contraction, metabolic reactions, gene expression and secretion. The excitation–secretion coupling that occurs through regulated exocytosis provides the basis for chemical transmission in the nervous system (reviewed in Papura et al., 2010). Astrocytes, the main homoeostatic cells in the CNS (central nervous system), are no exception to this eukaryotic evolutionary trait (reviewed in Papura and Zorec, 2010). The Ca2+ signalling system involves several sets of molecules that generate Ca2+ concentration gradients, and provide pathways for Ca2+ diffusion between various cellular compartments, which serve as sources and/or sinks for this ion. Cell stimulation triggers concerted activation of these molecular sets that produce a spatio-temporally organized transient increase in the [Ca2+], (cytosolic/intracellular Ca2+ levels), which is sufficient and necessary for the engagement of Ca2+-sensitive effectors protein(s) of the secretory machinery. Subsequently, this leads to vesicular fusion to the plasma membrane liberating transmitters into the ECS, a process that has been referred to as giotransmission when occurring in astrocytes. Below we summarize the role of several compartments, the ER (endoplasmic reticulum), ECS and mitochondria, for giotransmission, in particular for Ca2+-dependent release of glutamate from astrocytes. The portrayal of this subject is largely driven by results obtained in vitro, although the final corroboration awaits further experimentation using more intact preparations.

The ER represents a dynamic network of tubules and cisternae distributed throughout the cell body, forming the nuclear envelope and extending towards most distal processes (Baumann and Walz, 2001; Verkhratsky, 2005).
One of the ER functions is to act as a dynamic Ca\(^{2+}\) store that generates and shapes cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) signals. The ER has its own Ca\(^{2+}\) homeostatic machinery represented by: (i) Ca\(^{2+}\) pumps of SERCA (sarcoplasmic/endoplasmic reticulum Ca\(^{2+}\)-ATPase) type that transport Ca\(^{2+}\) into the ER lumen against the concentration gradient; (ii) opposing leakage pathway from the ER (Camello et al., 2002; Beck et al., 2004); and (iii) Ca\(^{2+}\) release channels. The latter are archetypal ligand-gated channels, InsP\(_3\)Rs (inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate receptors) and RyRs (ryanodine/caffeine receptors), activated by the second messengers InsP\(_3\) and Ca\(^{2+}\) ions respectively (Bezprozvanny, 2005; Galiano and Ruas, 2005; Hamilton, 2005), which when open allow Ca\(^{2+}\) release down its concentration gradient resulting in transient cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) signals. The host of metabotropic receptors expressed at the astrocytic plasma membrane activate the phospholipase C/InsP\(_3\) signalling cascade that results in the opening of InsP\(_3\)Rs (Berridge, 1993; Kostyuk and Verkhratsky, 1994; Petersen et al., 1994), which has been demonstrated in all types of glial cells in vitro and in situ (see e.g. McCarthy and Salm, 1991; Kastritsis et al., 1992; Finkbeiner 1993; Kirischuk et al., 1995, 1996; Peakman and Hill, 1995; Verkhratsky et al., 1998; Agulhon et al., 2008). Although the role for RyRs in shaping Ca\(^{2+}\) release in astrocytes in vivo remains debatable (Parpura et al., 2011), there are some indices of their contribution to the physiology of astrocytes, for instance, in ventrobasal thalamus (Parri and Crunelli, 2003).

The contribution of the ER Ca\(^{2+}\) release to gliotransmission was deduced from experiments on cultured astrocytes, which showed that inhibition of ER Ca\(^{2+}\) accumulation by thapsigargin, a specific SERCA blocker, largely reduced Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent glutamate release (Innocenti et al., 2000; Jeremic et al., 2001; Hua et al., 2004) (Figure 1). Both InsP\(_3\)Rs and RyRs were conduits for Ca\(^{2+}\) release from the ER to the cytosol (Hua et al., 2004). This was evident by the reduction of cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) loads and glutamate release when astrocytes were mechanically stimulated while being exposed to a variety of pharmacological agents including: (i) 2-APB (diphenylboric acid 2-aminoethyl ester), a cell-permeable InsP\(_3\) antagonist (Maruyama et al., 1997), which can also block the SOCE (store-operated Ca\(^{2+}\) entry) (Kukkonen et al., 2001; Bootman et al., 2002); (ii) ryanodine at concentration which can block RyRs (Rousseau and Meissner, 1989; Henzi and MacDermott, 1992; Golovina and Blaustein, 1997); (iii) caffeine, which depletes the ER through persistent activation of RyRs, but can also block InsP\(_3\)Rs (Ösipchuk et al., 1990; Waku et al., 1990; Ehrlich et al., 1994); and (iv) combination of 2-APB with ryanodine or caffeine, without additive effect when compared with treatments with one agent only (Figure 1).

An additional source of Ca\(^{2+}\) for gliotransmission comes from the ECS, since exposure of astrocytes to Cd\(^{2+}\), a broad spectrum blocker of plasmalemmal Ca\(^{2+}\) membrane channels, reduced both mechanically induced cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) loads and glutamate release (Hua et al., 2004), this finding being in agreement with the dual inhibitory action of 2-APB on the

InosP\(_3\)R and the SOCE. Indeed, SOCE, activated following the depletion of the ER Ca\(^{2+}\) store, is generally present in all types of glial cells (see e.g. Tuschik et al., 1997; Toescu et al., 1998; Pivneva et al., 2008; Li et al., 2009). Some of the possible SOCE molecular entities, the products of TRP (transient receptor potential) genes, have been identified in astrocytes and play a role in the regulation of astrocytic Ca\(^{2+}\) homeostasis and glutamate release (Pizzo et al., 2001; Grimaldi et al., 2003; Golovina, 2005; Malarkey et al., 2008). Hence, an acute immunological treatment using blocking antibodies against the TRPC1 (TRP canonical 1) protein channel pore significantly reduced mechanically induced cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) elevations and the consequential glutamate release from cultured astrocytes (Malarkey et al., 2008) (Figure 1).

In addition to SOCE, Ca\(^{2+}\) entry from the ECS to the cytosol can be mediated via plasma membrane voltage- and ligand-gated Ca\(^{2+}\) channels. Astrocytes in acute slices from ventrobasal thalamus showed intrinsic cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) oscillations which lead to glutamate release (Parri et al., 2001). These oscillations were thapsigargin sensitive, thus requiring Ca\(^{2+}\) release from the ER. However, they also required Ca\(^{2+}\) entry from the ECS since they were additionally sensitive to nifedipine, a blocker of L-type VGCC (voltage-gated Ca\(^{2+}\) channels) (Parri et al., 2001) (Figure 1). Conversely, the L-type Ca\(^{2+}\) channel positive modulator BayK8644 increased the number of astrocytes exhibiting cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) oscillations.
that were sensitive to 2-[2-[(4-nitrobenzyloxy)phenyl]ethyl]-1,5-dihydro-4,1-benzothiazepin-2(3H)-one (SB2) can be modulated (Capogna et al., 1995; Wu et al., 1999); and (iii) Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent exocytosis (Parpura et al., 1994; Calegari et al., 1999; Krzan et al., 2003; Panscic et al., 2007); also reviewed in Parpura and Zorec (2010). Owing to the ongoing debate on the role of regulated exocytosis in gliotransmission (Smith, 2010), we here report on the exocytotic release of three classes of gliotransmitters: (i) amino acids, such as glutamate; (ii) nucleotides, such as ATP; and (iii) peptides, such as ANP (atrial natriuretic peptide).

Glutamate is synthesized within astrocytes via the tricarboxylic acid cycle (Westergaard et al., 1996). Owing to the expression of the enzyme pyruvate carboxylase, astrocytes can synthesize glutamate de novo (Hertz et al., 1999). ATP is produced in cells by glycolysis and oxidative phosphorylation. Extracellularly released ATP can directly act on purinergic receptors, or indirectly, upon its hydrolysis by membrane-bound ectonucleotidases to ADP and adenosine, can activate various plasma membrane receptors (reviewed in Fields and Burnstock, 2006). Both glutamate and ATP get loaded into vesicular lumen by vesicular transporters (see below), unlike ANP which enters vesicles via the synthetic secretory pathway, i.e., pro-ANP is made in the ER, and after sorting into secretory vesicles it gets processed to its final form, ANP, before being released (Dannis, 1999).

Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent exocytotic release of glutamate and ATP depends on the presence of two sets of proteins: (i) the SNARE (soluble N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive fusion protein-attachment protein receptor) complex, responsible for mediating vesicular and plasma membranes merger, containing: Sb2 (synaptobrevin 2), or its homologue cellubrevin, syntaxin 1, SNAP-23 (23 kDa synaptosome-associated protein); and (ii) proteins responsible for sequestering glutamate and ATP into vesicles: the V-ATPase (vacuolar type proton ATPase), which drives protons into the vesicular lumen creating the proton concentration gradient necessary for glutamate/ATP transport into vesicles via VGLUTs (vesicular glutamate transporters) 1, 2 and 3 and VNN1 (vesicular nucleotide transporter) respectively (reviewed in Montana et al., 2006; Parpura and Zorec, 2010) (Figure 2A).

Secretory vesicles are compulsory morphological elements for exocytosis; a large variety of their sizes has been reported in astrocytes (reviewed in Montana et al., 2006; Parpura et al., 2010; Parpura and Zorec, 2010). In astrocytes, Sb2 can be associated with vesicular structures ranging from 30 to 700 nm, the majority of which are electron-lucent (clear), while ~2% are dense core (Maienschein et al., 1999; Crippa et al., 2006). Dense, and not so dense, core vesicles in cultured

Regulated exocytosis and gliotransmission

The criteria for a chemical released from glia/astrocyte to be classified as a ‘gliotransmitter’ have been defined (Do et al., 1997; Volterra and Meldolesi, 2005; Martin et al., 2007; Parpura and Zorec, 2010) by a set of criteria: (i) synthesis by and/or storage in glia; (ii) regulated release triggered by physiological and/or pathological stimuli; (iii) activation of rapid (milliseconds to seconds) responses in neighbouring cells (paracrine), or to itself (autocrine); and (iv) a role in (patho)physiological processes. Astrocytes are capable of releasing a variety of gliotransmitters using three general mechanisms/conduits: (i) plasma membrane channels (Pasantes Morales and Schousboe, 1988; Cotrina et al., 1998; Duan et al., 2003; Iglesias et al., 2009); (ii) plasma membrane transporters (Szatkowski et al., 1990; Rosenberg et al., 1994; Warr et al., 1999); and (iii) Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent exocytosis (Parpura et al., 1994; Calegari et al., 1999; Krzan et al., 2003; Panscic et al., 2007); also reviewed in Parpura and Zorec (2010). Owing to the ongoing debate on the role of regulated exocytosis in gliotransmission (Smith, 2010), we here report on the exocytotic release of three classes of gliotransmitters: (i) amino acids, such as glutamate; (ii) nucleotides, such as ATP; and (iii) peptides, such as ANP (atrial natriuretic peptide).

Glutamate is synthesized within astrocytes via the tricarboxylic acid cycle (Westergaard et al., 1996). Owing to the expression of the enzyme pyruvate carboxylase, astrocytes can synthesize glutamate de novo (Hertz et al., 1999). ATP is produced in cells by glycolysis and oxidative phosphorylation. Extracellularly released ATP can directly act on purinergic receptors, or indirectly, upon its hydrolysis by membrane-bound ectonucleotidases to ADP and adenosine, can activate various plasma membrane receptors (reviewed in Fields and Burnstock, 2006). Both glutamate and ATP get loaded into vesicular lumen by vesicular transporters (see below), unlike ANP which enters vesicles via the synthetic secretory pathway, i.e., pro-ANP is made in the ER, and after sorting into secretory vesicles it gets processed to its final form, ANP, before being released (Dannis, 1999).

Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent exocytotic release of glutamate and ATP depends on the presence of two sets of proteins: (i) the SNARE (soluble N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive fusion protein-attachment protein receptor) complex, responsible for mediating vesicular and plasma membranes merger, containing: Sb2 (synaptobrevin 2), or its homologue cellubrevin, syntaxin 1, SNAP-23 (23 kDa synaptosome-associated protein); and (ii) proteins responsible for sequestering glutamate and ATP into vesicles: the V-ATPase (vacuolar type proton ATPase), which drives protons into the vesicular lumen creating the proton concentration gradient necessary for glutamate/ATP transport into vesicles via VGLUTs (vesicular glutamate transporters) 1, 2 and 3 and VNN1 (vesicular nucleotide transporter) respectively (reviewed in Montana et al., 2006; Parpura and Zorec, 2010) (Figure 2A).

Secretory vesicles are compulsory morphological elements for exocytosis; a large variety of their sizes has been reported in astrocytes (reviewed in Montana et al., 2006; Parpura et al., 2010; Parpura and Zorec, 2010). In astrocytes, Sb2 can be associated with vesicular structures ranging from 30 to 700 nm, the majority of which are electron-lucent (clear), while ~2% are dense core (Maienschein et al., 1999; Crippa et al., 2006). Dense, and not so dense, core vesicles in cultured
astrocytes with diameters of ~115 nm, contained the secretory peptide secretogranin II (Calegari et al., 1999) and ATP (Coco et al., 2003); subcellular fractions containing secretogranin II were mainly distinct from fractions containing Sb2 (Calegari et al., 1999). Consistent with this finding ANP fluorescent derivative [Emd (emerald green) appended] puncta poorly co-localized with VGLUT1 signal (Kreft et al., 2004), but they had ~35% co-localization with ATP (Potokar et al., 2008). However, ~85% of Sb2 containing vesicles in astrocytes co-localize with VGLUTs (Montana et al., 2004; Bowser and Khakh, 2007); a subset of these Sb2 vesicles likely contains other transmitters such as d-serine (Martineau et al., 2008). Astrocytes in situ showed association of cellubrevin and VGLUT 1 or 2 with small clear vesicles with a mean diameter of ~30 nm (Bezzi et al., 2004) (Figure 2B). However, photoconverted recycling dye FM1–43 labelled glutamatergic vesicles with a measured diameter of 310 nm which was comparable with their sizes in live cultured astrocytes (340 nm) obtained using differential interference contrast microscopy (Chen et al., 2005). Visualization of vesicles expressing the Sb2 fluorescent derivatives [GFP (green fluorescent protein) or pHluorin appended] in astrocytes using TIRFM (total internal reflection microscopy) gave an estimated diameter of under ~100 nm (Bowser and Khakh, 2007) and of ~312 nm (range 161–422 nm) (Malarkey and Parpura, 2011). Similarly, diameters of VGLUT2 or VGLUT1–pHluorin expressing vesicles in astrocytes using TIRFM were estimated to be ~40 nm (Cali et al., 2008; Marchaland et al., 2008). Glutamatergic vesicles labelled by capturing an extracellular antibody against VGLUT1 in a Ca2+-dependent manner while recycling with the plasma membrane are electron-lucent and have diameters of ~50 nm (Stenovec et al., 2007). Similarly, recycling peptidergic vesicles, capturing an extracellular antibody against ANP, have diameters of ~50 nm (Potokar et al., 2008). Vesicles found within gloosomes, subcellular components of astrocytic processes isolated from brain by fractionation (Nakamura et al., 1993), express Sb2 and VGLUT1 and measure ~30 nm in diameter (Stigliani et al., 2006). Taken together, it appears that there are three classes of vesicles devoted to packaging of amino acids, nucleotides and peptides with some overlap in co-packaging (see summary in Table 1 of Parpura et al., 2010).

The process of exocytosis is characterized by quantal release of transmitter, which has been demonstrated in astrocytes using ‘sniffer’ cells (Pasti et al., 2001; Pangrsic et al., 2007) and fluorescent microscopy (Bezzi et al., 2004; Pangrsic et al., 2007) for ATP and glutamate, while glutamatergic vesicles containing a ‘surrogate’ transmitter were also interrogated using amperometry (Chen et al., 2005). Pasti
et al. (2001) transfected HEK-293 cells (human embryonic kidney cells), which endogenously do not express GluR, with NMDAR (N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor) subunits 1 and 2A. These cells, termed NR cells, were co-cultured with astrocytes and used as glutamate biosensors/reporters in whole-cell patch clamp recordings. Selective glutamatergic stimulation of astrocytes caused oscillatory \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\) elevations in astrocytes as well as inward currents in adjacent NR cells consistent with quantal release of glutamate from astrocytes. Similarly, glutamate stimulation of astrocytes showed quantal release of ATP as recorded by ATP biosensor (Pangrsic et al., 2007), HEK-293 cells expressing a mutated P2X\(_i\) receptor with reduced desensitization (Fabbretti et al., 2004) (Figure 2C). Further evidence for vesicular exocytosis from astrocytes came from the use of TIRFM (Bezzi et al., 2004; Pangrsic et al., 2007). Pangrsic et al. (2007) incubated astrocytes with quinacrine, a compound that fluorescents labels ATP containing structures and shows punctate appearance. The rapid loss of quinacrine puncta was evident upon receptor stimulation using glutamate or ATP and the \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) ionophore, ionomycin (Pryazhnikov and Khiroug, 2008). Similar data were obtained for glutamatergic vesicles when astrocytes in culture were transfected to express either VGLUT 1-EGFP (enhanced GFP) or VGLUT 2-EGFP chimaeric proteins loaded with Acridine Orange and stimulated with a mGlur (metabotropic GluR) agonist or ionomycin (Bezzi et al., 2004). Additional evidence for quantal release from astrocytes was obtained by amperometric measurements from cells pre-incubated with the oxidizable transmitter dopamine, which was stored in glutamatergic vesicles (Chen et al., 2005). Exocytotic release of ANP, visualized as a disappearance of fluorescent chimaeric protein ANP-Emd puncta (quanta), was observed upon stimulation of astrocytes by ionomycin (Krzan et al., 2003).

The delivery of secretory vesicles to the plasma membrane exocytotic sites can be observed as an increase in the \(C_m\) (membrane capacitance) due to a net addition of vesicular membrane to the plasma membrane (Kreft et al., 2004). Hence, stimulation to increase \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\) caused an increase in astrocyte \(C_m\) (Kreft et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 2004). Interestingly, in astrocytes the maximal rate of \(C_m\) increase (proportional to the rate of exocytosis) was at least two orders of magnitude slower when compared with that in neurons, measured by a similar method (Kreft et al., 2003). In a subset of experiments where simultaneous measurements of glutamate release and \(C_m\) were made, evoked glutamate release from astrocytes was accompanied by an increase in \(C_m\) in all cells (Zhang et al., 2004).

Using mainly neurons and chromaffin cells as models, two types of vesicle fusion have been described: (i) full fusion, where the vesicle collapses into the plasma membrane upon fusion (Figure 2D), and (ii) transient fusion, where vesicles remain attached to the plasma membrane and transiently open the fusion pore (Figure 2E). Both modes of exocytosis occur in astrocytes (Bezzi et al., 2004; Chen et al., 2005; Bowser and Khakh, 2007; Cali et al., 2008; Marchaland et al., 2008; Malarkey and Parpura, 2011). Spontaneously occurring events had a similar ratio of transient to full fusions; the preference for one fusion type over the other was stimulus-dependent (Bowser and Khakh, 2007; Malarkey and Parpura, 2011) (Figure 2F). The consequence of transient versus full fusion on release of gliotransmitters critically depends on the measurements of the fusion pore. Such measurements would allow us to assess the amount and/or type of gliotransmitter (i.e., amino acid versus peptide) that could be released during transient fusion events (Vardjan et al., 2007, 2009). In turn, such differential release properties of the two forms of vesicular release could have profound differential effects on astrocytic modulation of synaptic transmission and plasticity at the tripartite synapse (Araque et al., 1999).

Taken together, astrocytes possess functional secretory machinery for release of various gliotransmitters, which in turn can act upon neuronal receptors. The consequences of such gliotransmitter-mediated astrocyte–neuron signalling are further explored in upcoming sections below.

**Mobility of secretory vesicles in astrocytes**

\(\text{Ca}^{2+}\)-dependent exocytosis not only permits the exit of vesicle lumen cargo, such as gliotransmitters into the ECS, but also modifies important signalling membrane molecules, including transporters, receptors, exchangers and ion pumps, to be integrated by exocytosis into the plasma membrane where they can take part in the signal transduction. Thus, the understanding of vesicle traffic is crucial for uncovering the role astrocytes play in signal integration in the brain. Here, we will address vesicle traffic, vesicle delivery to and removal from the site of exocytosis, a highly complex set of processes at resting and stimulated conditions.

The traffic of vesicles likely evolved when eukaryotic cells emerged 1000–2000 million years ago (Yoon et al., 2004). The evolution of these cells was associated with a cell volume increase by three to four orders of magnitude versus that of a typical prokaryotic cell. The increased cell size dictated a new organizational make-up. An important reason for this is that signalling and communication within the relatively large eukaryotic cell volume could no longer be supported mainly by diffusion-based processes. While the transport of ions and small molecules by diffusion in the sub-micrometre range is physiologically appropriate, the diffusional mobility of larger structures, such as vesicles, is predicted to be extremely slow, proportional to the aqueous diffusion coefficient and the inverse mass of the structure (Pusch and Neher, 1988).

The first studies in astrocytes revealed two types of vesicular mobility, directional and non-directional (Potokar et al., 2005, 2007). Directional mobility was observed as vesicular tracks approximating straight-line appearance (Figure 3A). In contrast to the non-directional type of vesicle mobility, the directional mobility failed to exhibit a linear relationship between the mean square displacement as a function of time (Figure 3B), as is expected for diffusion-based processes, but was better described by a quadratic
equation (Potokar et al., 2005). Similar types of vesicle mobility were observed in other cell types (Burke et al., 1997; Tvarusko ´ et al., 1999; Duncan et al., 2003; Hill et al., 2004). Vesicles exhibiting directional mobility are likely transported along the cytoskeleton: their mobility may be modulated by the dynamics of cytoskeletal elements, by different types of molecular motor proteins associated with the cytoskeleton, and by a variety of regulatory and signalling molecules (Chang and Goldman, 2004).

In addition to microtubules and actin filaments as general cytoskeletal elements, astrocytes also express the IFs, such as GFAP, vimentin, nestin and synemin (Pekny et al., 1999; Pekny and Pekna, 2004). Vesicles were fluorescently labelled by exposing the astrocytes to the extracellular antibody recognizing the vesicle luminal domain of the VGLUT1. Vesicle mobility was studied in cultured astrocytes, 678–683, Copyright 2005, with permission from Elsevier.

**Figure 3** Vesicular mobility in astrocytes

(A–C) Directional and non-directional vesicle mobility in resting astrocytes. (A) Examples of the mobility of vesicles, labelled by expressing the fluorescent ANP (pro-ANP-Emd) in astrocytes. Vesicles labelled with numbers 2 and 3 show directional mobility with elongated tracks (directional), whereas vesicles 1 and 4 exhibit non-directional mobility. Line on vesicle number 3 depicts the maximal displacement the vesicle attained in the observation time of 15 s. (B) Directional vesicles and (C) non-directional vesicles show the mean square displacement (MSD) of vesicle mobility as a function of time. Dashed line in (B) represents linear function (typical for a diffusion-based process) fitted to the data using equation: 

\[ \text{MSD (\( \mu m^2 \))} = (0.4702 \pm 0.0099) \times \text{Time(s)} \]

Upwardly curving line, which fits the data better than the linear function, represents quadratic function: 

\[ \text{MSD (\( \mu m^2 \))} = (0.2189 \pm 0.0148) \times \text{Time(s)}^2 + (0.0221 \pm 0.0013) \times \text{Time(s)} \]

In (C) a linear function was fitted to the data following the equation: 

\[ \text{MSD (\( \mu m^2 \))} = (0.0038 \pm 0.0001) \times \text{Time(s)} \]

Numbered lines represent fits to the data (equations in left panel in grey). Stimulated cells (E) were treated with *Clostridium spiroforme* toxin (CST) to disintegrate actin filaments or left untreated (Con). Note that following the stimulation of cells by the addition of ionomycin to raise cytosolic calcium levels, increased vesicle mobility is seen as the steeper slope of the line (number 2). If cells were pretreated by the toxin CST the slope of the line (number 3) remained unchanged in comparison with controls (D, line number 1). (D, E) Reprinted from Experimental Cell Research, 313, Matjaž Stenovec et al., Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent mobility of vesicles capturing anti-VGLUT1 antibodies, 3809–3818, Copyright (2007), with permission from Elsevier.
dynamics, in particular, how the traffic of distinct types of vesicles is affected (Potokar et al., 2010, 2011).

In the initial studies, single vesicles were fluorescently labelled by expressing pro-ANP-Emd in cultured astrocytes (Potokar et al., 2005). To describe vesicle mobility, parameters such as speed, step length, track length, maximal displacement and mean square displacement, were estimated. Vesicles containing recombinant peptide pro-ANP-Emd revealed directional mobility (Figures 3A–3C) in 35% of vesicles (Potokar et al., 2005), which is similar to the Sb2-EGFP tagged vesicles, where 25% of vesicles were highly mobile (Crippa et al., 2006). It is likely that the directional mobility requires a cytoskeletal network, since disintegration of microtubules, actin filaments and IFs significantly reduced the population of vesicles exhibiting directional mobility (Potokar et al., 2007). The role of IFs in vesicle traffic, where they appear to be required for long-range directional vesicle mobility, was unexpected, since these filaments were considered previously to primarily maintain the cell shape (Chang and Goldman, 2004).

There was one other unexpected finding. After the vesicle membrane fuses with the plasma membrane transiently, the vesicle is thought to be retrieved into the cytoplasm (Jahn and Südhof, 1999; Taraska et al., 2003). The mobility of retrieved vesicles was studied by labelling the vesicles with extracellular antibodies, which were captured when the vesicle lumen was temporarily exposed to the extracellular medium during transient vesicle fusion (Stenovec et al., 2007; Potokar et al., 2008); a similar approach was used to label synaptic vesicles previously (Sara et al., 2005).

The mobility of retrieved vesicles differs from the peptidergic vesicle traffic to the plasma membrane, in the post-synthetic secretory pathway. First, the speed of retrieving ANP and VGLUT1 vesicles is one order of magnitude slower (−0.05 μm/s) than the speed of peptidergic vesicles along the post-synthetic pathway towards the plasma membrane. These velocities are similar to that of ATP-containing vesicles (Pangrsic et al., 2007; Potokar et al., 2008). Secondly, while it has been reported that an increase in [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}] does not cause a significant difference in the mean velocity of pro-ANP-Emd labelled vesicles before and after stimulation (Ng et al., 2002; Potokar et al., 2007), the mobility of retrieved vesicles was altered following astrocyte stimulation by ionomycin to increase [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}]. The mobility of VGLUT1-positive vesicles was accelerated (Figures 3D and 3E), while the mobility of ANP-positive vesicles was attenuated (Stenovec et al., 2007; Potokar et al., 2008). However, as with the vesicle traffic along the post-synthetic pathway, the mobility of retrieved vesicles was reported to be sensitive to the disintegration of microtubules, actin filaments and IFs (Stenovec et al., 2007; Potokar et al., 2008), consistent with results on the impaired traffic of vesicles carrying the GPCR (G-protein-coupled receptor) cannabinoid receptor 1 in astrocytes in which the dynamics of actin filaments or microtubules was perturbed (Osborne et al., 2009).

To test that the vesicle mobility recorded in cultured astrocytes also occurs in vivo, vesicle dynamics was studied in brain slices in which cell-to-cell contacts are preserved and tissue architecture is closer to the one present in the brain (Potokar et al., 2009). The mobility of specifically labelled recycling glutamatergic and peptidergic vesicles (immunopositive for VGLUT1 or ANP respectively) was similar to that in cultured astrocytes (Stenovec et al., 2007; Potokar et al., 2008).

To learn whether the accelerated/inhibited vesicle mobility requires the IFs, wild-type and double IF (GFAP<sup>−/−</sup> and vimentin<sup>−/−</sup>) knock-out astrocytes were studied. The results have shown that the stimulus-accelerated mobility of VGLUT1-positive glutamatergic vesicles, and the stimulus-inhibited mobility of ANP-positive peptidergic vesicles was absent in astrocytes without IFs. Moreover, the stimulus-mediated-inhibition of endosomes/lysosome mobility was absent in cells devoid of IFs (Potokar et al., 2010). Thus, IFs play an important role in vesicle mobility regulation. One can thus expect that in reactive astrocytes, where IFs are overexpressed, this may differentially affect the stimulation-dependent mobility of recycling vesicles and endosomes (Potokar et al., 2011). More direct evidence that pathological states in astrocytes may affect vesicle traffic was provided recently, where the mobility of endosomes/lysosomes in cultured astrocytes was increased upon the application of amytrophic lateral sclerosis IgG (Stenovec et al., 2011).

In summary, recent studies of single vesicle traffic in astrocytes revealed complex properties of glutamatergic and peptidergic vesicle mobility and their specific responses to extracellular stimuli. It appears that all vesicle types in astrocytes do not respond to altered physiological and pathological conditions in the same pattern. This may represent a new cellular paradigm of how astrocytes contribute to the phenotype of brain function in physiological and pathological conditions. One of the key questions for the future is to learn about the molecular mechanisms that mediate stimulus-dependent vesicle mobility regulation. Moreover, we need further insights into the role of factors that affect vesicle mobility and whether these manifest at a more systemic level.

Astrocytes modulate synaptic transmission

The elucidation of the functional consequences of gliotransmission on brain physiology has emerged as one of the most appealing topics in contemporary neuroscience. Astrocytes may release different neuroactive molecules, such as glutamate, D-serine, ATP, adenosine, GABA (γ-aminobutyric acid), TNFα (tumour necrosis factor α), prostaglandins, proteins and peptides that can potentially influence neuronal activity and synaptic physiology (Volterra and Bezza, 2002; Perea et al., 2009). Glutamate, one of the first recognized gliotransmitters originally identified in culture (Parpura et al., 1994), has been shown to regulate synaptic transmission in cultured hippocampal cells (Araque et al., 1998a, b) and hippocampal slices. Glutamate released from astrocytes may
Astrocyte calcium signal triggered by synaptic activity stimulates the release of presynaptic group I mGluRs at hippocampal CA3–CA1 synapses (Newman, 2003; Liu et al., 2004b; Perea and Araque, 2007; Navarrete and Araque, 2010) or presynaptic NMDARs at mossy fibres of the hippocampal dentate gyrus (Jourdain et al., 2007). It can also affect inhibitory transmission, inducing the potentiation (Kang et al., 1998) or depression of inhibitory synaptic currents by activation of presynaptic kainate (Liu et al., 2004a) or group II/III mGluRs (Martin et al., 2007) respectively. The fact that a single gliotransmitter can exert multiple effects provides a high degree of complexity (Figure 4) to the possible impact of the astrocyte–neuron communication on network function.

Besides glutamate, other gliotransmitters such as ATP and its metabolic product adenosine have also been shown to modulate synaptic transmission. The astrocytic release of ATP, which is converted into adenosine by extracellular nucleotidases, is responsible for the presynaptic A1 receptor activation that tonically depresses neurotransmission (Serrano et al., 2006) and mediates heterosynaptic depression in hippocampal CA3–CA1 synapses (Zhang et al., 2003; Panatier et al., 2011) may co-exist with a phasic glutamate activation that tonically activates A2A receptors (Panatier et al., 2006; Serrano et al., 2006). Adenosine itself directly released from astrocytes under hypoxic conditions has also been shown to down-regulate synaptic transmission in those synapses through activation of presynaptic A1 receptors (Martin et al., 2007). Furthermore, a more recent study has shown that basal synaptic transmission in C A3–CA1 synapses is up-regulated by the release of ATP/adenosine that tonically activates A2A receptors (Panatier et al., 2011). Again, as in the case of glutamate, these studies exemplify how a single gliotransmitter may have multiple and contrasting effects on synaptic transmission and, hence, in neural network function.

The fact that astrocytes may release different gliotransmitters and that a single gliotransmitter may act on different receptors and in different neuronal elements could provide apparent contradictory results. However, the existence of different regulatory effects should rather be regarded as complementary mechanisms that provide a high degree of complexity in the astrocytic control of the synaptic physiology. Experimental studies are generally designed to reveal the involvement of astrocytes in particular synaptic phenomena. However, the consequences of the astrocytic regulation of synaptic function can be much more complex in an actual neural network that is dynamically active because different neuromodulatory mechanisms can be simultaneously present. The influence of astrocytes, which are capable of releasing various gliotransmitters on synaptic transmission and neural network function, could be extremely diverse depending on the type of gliotransmitter released, the nature of the synapses involved, the type of neuronal receptors targeted as well as their pre- or postsynaptic localization. The temporal properties of these regulatory mechanisms may also be important. For example, sustained astrocytic purinergic signalling, which tonically up- or down-regulates synaptic activity through activation of A1 or A2A receptors (Pascual et al., 2005; Serrano et al., 2006; Panatier et al., 2011) may co-exist with a phasic glutamate signalling evoked by acute astrocytic calcium elevations that transiently potentiate synaptic transmission by activating presynaptic mGluRs (Fiocco and McCarthy, 2004; Perea and Araque, 2007; Navarrete and Araque, 2010) or NMDARs (Santello et al., 2011), which would provide higher degrees of freedom to the neural network activity.

Taken together, the functional consequences of astrocyte activity on synaptic function depend on the magnitude and spatial and temporal properties of the astrocytic calcium signal responsible for gliotransmitter release (i.e. which, when and where a type of gliotransmitter is released), as well as on the neuronal elements involved (i.e. what type of synapses, what type of receptors are activated and where in the pre- or post-synaptic membrane). At present we are gaining a better understanding of astrocyte involvement in synaptic physiology by revealing the possible regulatory mechanisms that may contribute to the astrocyte neuromodulation, but a detailed characterization of the physiological conditions, the astrocytic cellular code and the specific intercellular signalling that lead to the particular neuromodulation is necessary to coherently understand the actual consequences of synaptic transmission modulation by astrocyte activity on neural network function.

The astrocytic release of the gliotransmitter D-serine has also important consequences for the modulation of synaptic transmission. D-Serine release is capable of enhancing the function of NMDARs, which are important in the regulation of neuronal excitability and plasticity. Astrocytes can modulate the release of D-serine, which in turn affects the excitatory responses of neurons, thus influencing synaptic transmission and neuronal network function. The regulation of D-serine release is complex and involves both G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) and non-GPCR-mediated pathways, which can be activated by various extracellular signals. Understanding the mechanisms underlying the astrocytic control of D-serine release is crucial for gaining insights into the functional role of astrocytes in neuronal networks and synaptic plasticity.
transmission properties. D-serine has been shown to be an endogenous ligand of the glycine-binding site of the NMDARs that acts as a co-agonist for these receptors and has important implications in glutamatergic transmission. In the hypothalamic supraoptic nucleus, changes in the astrocytic coverage of synapses under different physiological conditions result in changes in the ambient levels of D-serine released by astrocytes and its availability for NMDAR activation, thus influencing NMDAR-mediated synaptic responses (Panatier et al., 2006).

Long-term synaptic changes, considered to represent the cellular mechanisms underlying learning and memory, can also be influenced in multiple forms by different gliotransmitters released from astrocytes. Some mechanisms are based on a tonic mode of action of astrocytic gliotransmitters that tonically and persistently regulate synaptic transmission (Serrano et al., 2006; Stellwagen and Malenka, 2006; Henneberger et al., 2010; Panatier et al., 2011). As a consequence of the above described modulation of NMDAR activity by astrocytic D-serine, astrocytes regulate the amplitude and direction of the synaptic plasticity in the supraoptic nucleus (Panatier et al., 2006). Likewise, astrocytes in the CA1 area of the hippocampus control NMDAR-mediated synaptic plasticity through the release of D-serine (Henneberger et al., 2010). ATP/adenosine released from astrocytes has also been shown to govern the strength of the basal hippocampal synaptic activity by tonic suppression of neurotransmission, which results in an increase in the dynamic range for LTP (long-term potentiation) (Pascual et al., 2005). In addition, astrocytes also participate in the generation of LTP in hippocampal CA3–CA1 synapses through a phasic signalling process, in which the temporal coincidence of the astrocyte calcium signal and the postsynaptic neuronal activity induces LTP through the activation of presynaptic group I mGluRs by calcium-dependent glutamate release from astrocytes (Perea and Araque, 2007).

Although most of the studies on synaptic transmission modulation by astrocytes have been performed on hippocampal slices because of their suitability as an experimental model to study synaptic transmission, synaptic regulation by astrocytes can be considered as a general phenomenon in the nervous system, as indicated by its presence in other brain areas, such as the retina, supraoptic nucleus and cerebellum, as well as in the PNS (peripheral nervous system) (for reviews, see Pascual et al., 2005; Jourdain et al., 2007; Perea et al., 2009). However, to fully understand the role of astrocytes in brain function, it should be considered that astrocytes are largely interconnected cells through the expression of connexins, the membrane protein constituents of gap junction channels and hemichannels. Therefore, the existence of astroglial networks adds further complexity to the neuronal networks underlying brain function (Giaume, 2010).

In conclusion, accumulating evidence obtained during recent years has shown that astrocytes not only respond to neuronal activity but also actively modulate synaptic transmission and plasticity, demonstrating the existence of reciprocal communication between neurons and astrocytes. This evidence has led to the establishment of the concept for the tripartite synapse (Araque et al., 1999; Perea et al., 2009), which represents a novel functional view of synaptic physiology where astrocytes are relevant elements actively involved in synaptic function and neurophysiology.

**Astrocytes modulate sleep homoeostasis through their control of adenosine**

A significant challenge in studying the role of the astrocyte in brain function has been the development of strategies that allow one to determine how these glial cells regulate synapses, neural networks and ultimately behaviour. Towards these goals, it was necessary to develop various lines of astrocyte specific transgenic mice that allow the evaluation of the role of glial signalling pathways in the control of brain function (Pascual et al., 2005; Fellin et al., 2009). One such line in the dnSNARE (dominant negative SNARE) mouse expressing a cytosolic domain of Sb2 in astrocytes in the adult brain is due to conditional and selective transgenic targeting. Initial studies in situ using hippocampal slices revealed that the expression of dnSNARE in astrocytes led to an increase in the magnitude of excitatory synaptic transmission at the CA3–CA1 synapse (Pascual et al., 2005). By performing pharmacological studies it was determined that this enhancement of synaptic transmission resulted from a reduction in the activation of presynaptic A1 receptors (Figure 5) (Pascual, 2005). It is well known that there is a basal level of extracellular adenosine that tonically exerts a presynaptic inhibition of synaptic transmission.

**Figure 5 Pathways for synthesis, uptake and release of purines that may contribute to sleep homoeostasis**

Astrocytes release ATP through a SNARE-dependent mechanism. In the ECS, ectonucleotidases, such as CD73, can convert ATP into adenosine, which can be taken up by astrocytes via ENT. Intracellular adenosine can be converted into AMP or inosine by the action of ADK and ADA respectively. Astrocyte-mediated accumulation of extracellular adenosine leads to the activation of neuronal adenosine (A1) receptors resulting in modulation of synaptic transmission and sleep homoeostasis. Experimentally manipulated entities that support this model are shown in red. See text for additional details.
Consequently, these results led to conclusion that astrocytic dnSNARE expression removed the source of adenosine, thereby enhancing synaptic transmission (Pascual et al., 2005). Independent work using entirely different strategies (Serrano et al., 2006) provided significant evidence in support of the notion that astrocytes can regulate extracellular adenosine.

There are many pathways that regulate extracellular adenosine (Figure 5). One possible pathway is mediated through the diffusion of adenosine down concentration gradients that is facilitated by plasma membrane ENTs (equilibrative nucleoside transporters). Pascual et al. (2005) tested the importance of this pathway by pharmacologically inhibiting the transporter and determining whether it led to altered adenosine-dependent signalling. Following inhibition, adenosine-mediated presynaptic inhibition was enhanced, a result that is consistent with the transporter normally being used to uptake adenosine from the extracellular to intracellular milieu. Pascual et al. (2005) therefore sought the identification of a different pathway of extracellular adenosine accumulation. One potential pathway is mediated by the release of ATP. Once released into the ECS ATP can be hydrolysed to adenosine by extracellular nucleotidases. Extracellular bioluminescence imaging studies demonstrated that astrocytic dnSNARE expression caused a reduction in extracellular ATP. Moreover, the addition of exogenous ATP rescued the normal adenosine tone in slices obtained from dnSNARE mice. Collectively, these results suggest that via a SNARE sensitive mechanism, presumably exocytosis, astrocytes release ATP which is hydrolysed in the ECS to adenosine. This adenosine modulates synaptic transmission and is cleared via uptake through ENTs (Figure 5).

Having demonstrated that the astrocyte is critical for the control of adenosine, one can turn attention to potential behavioural phenotypes that result. It is well known that adenosine can modulate sleep (Basheer et al., 2000, 2004). For example, during wakefulness adenosine levels rise. Sleep deprivation leads to sustained adenosine elevations and infusion of adenosine promotes sleep. Additionally, adenosine receptor antagonists, including caffeine, promote wakefulness. The ability to selectively express dnSNARE only in astrocytes and thereby regulate the glial source of adenosine permitted investigations of whether astrocytes might modulate sleep systems.

There are two predominant modulators of sleep: the circadian oscillator that controls the timing of sleep and the sleep homoeostat that integrates the time awake and promotes sleep drive. Adenosine is implicated in the control of sleep homoeostasis. Using electroencephalography, Halassa et al. (2009) asked whether the astrocyte contributes to sleep homoeostasis. The drive to sleep can be assessed by measuring the power of SWA (slow wave activity) during non-rapid eye movement sleep. Following sleep deprivation, for example, SWA is enhanced. Under baseline conditions the power of SWA was reduced in dnSNARE mice and dnSNARE expression attenuated the responses to sleep deprivation. Following a period of sleep deprivation there is a compensatory increase in sleep time. Mice expressing dnSNARE in their astrocytes do not exhibit this increase of sleep time. Pharmacology performed in vivo demonstrated that inhibition of A1 receptors, the target of astrocyte-derived adenosine, phenocopied the dnSNARE phenotype (Halassa et al., 2009) (Figure 5).

This astrocyte adenosine mediated concept of the control of sleep homoeostasis is supported by additional studies. For example, mice with pan-cell knockout of the enzyme ecto-5’-nucleotidase, also known as CD73, necessary for extracellular hydrolysis of AMP to adenosine (Mochizuki et al., 2008), as well as mice with conditional neuron-specific A1 receptor deletion both show similar sleep phenotypes to dnSNARE mice (Bjorness et al., 2009) (Figure 5). Overexpression of ADK (adenosine kinase), an intracellular enzyme that is normally enriched in astrocytes and is responsible for the intracellular phosphorylation of adenosine to AMP, with concomitant conversion of ATP to ADP, leads to sleep phenotypes (Palchykova et al., 2010) (Figure 5). Interestingly, humans with specific mutations in ADA (adenosine deaminase), which leads to reduced intracellular metabolism of adenosine to inosine, have an increased depth of sleep (Rétéy et al., 2005).

These results demonstrate the importance of adenosine and the astrocytic control of this purine in the control of sleep homeostasis and point to the importance of these glial cells in the control of brain circuits underlying behaviour. Since many disorders of the brain have co-morbid sleep disorders it will be intriguing to determine the contribution of astrocytes to such disorders and whether targeting astrocytes could act as potential therapeutic strategies.

Astrocyte calcium and network modulation – epilepsy

Although we are beginning to understand how deep and wide the impact of astrocyte-to-neuron signalling might be on neuronal functions in physiological conditions (Halassa and Haydon, 2010), an involvement of astrocytes in certain brain disorders is also gradually emerging (Maragakis and Rothstein, 2006; Seifert et al., 2006, 2010; Wetherington et al., 2008; Blackburn et al., 2009). One of the brain disorders in which astrocytes have been proposed to play a relevant role is epilepsy, a family of multifactorial brain diseases affecting at least 50 million people worldwide (Baulac and Pitkanen, 2009). The clinical manifestation of epilepsy is the seizure, a highly synchronous electrical discharge arising in a population of neurons from a relatively small region (i.e. the epileptogenic focus) that secondarily spreads across the brain by a progressive recruitment of other neuronal populations (Traub and Wong, 1982; Jefferys, 1990; Avoli et al., 2002; Pinto et al., 2005; Trevelyan et al., 2006). Epilepsy can be considered a disorder of excessive synchronization of neurons, fundamentally linked to an imbalance between excitatory and inhibitory activities that produces a hyperexcitable neural network.
The hyperexcitable neuronal network that characterizes the epileptic brain is generally believed to originate from abnormalities intrinsic to neurons (McNamara et al., 2006), but non-neuronal mechanisms may also contribute (Konnerth et al., 1986; Dudek et al., 1998; Jefferys, 2003). A role of astrocytes as modulators of epileptogenesis was initially proposed over 20 years ago and for a long period it focused on the ability of astrocytes to buffer extracellular K\(^+\) or neurotransmitters (released in excess during epileptic discharges). Studies performed over the last decade, in both animal models and human epilepsy strengthened the view that a dysregulation of K\(^+\) buffering in the astrocyte network can predispose to neuronal hyperexcitability and contribute to establish an epileptic condition (Bordey and Sontheimer, 1998; Hinterkeuser et al., 2000; Kivi et al., 2000; Schroder et al., 2000; Wallraff et al., 2006).

A more direct role of astrocytes in the generation of epileptiform activities was proposed only recently. The first clue for such a role came from demonstration that through the Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent glutamate release, and possibly α-serine (Mothet et al., 2005; Panatier et al., 2006), astrocytes can directly excite groups of neighbouring neurons and favour NMDAR-dependent synchronized activities (Fellin et al., 2004). Following this observation, studies in brain slice preparations and in vivo reported a significant increase in the Ca\(^{2+}\) oscillation frequency in astrocytes during epileptiform activity (Tian et al., 2005; Fellin et al., 2006), and its reduction in the presence of anticonvulsant drugs (Tian et al., 2005). The Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent glutamate release in astrocytes, and the consequent activation of extracellular NMDARs in neurons, was then found to contribute to cell loss in cortical and hippocampal regions during experimentally induced status epilepticus (Ding et al., 2007). In support of a direct astrocyte role in focal epilepsies, it was recently revealed that in the presence of the proconvulsant 4-aminopyridine astrocytes exhibited massive Ca\(^{2+}\) elevations upon an episode of intense neuronal activity (Losi et al., 2010) and by signalling back to neurons they generated a recurrent excitatory loop with neurons that had the potential to promote a focal seizure (Gomez-Gonzalo et al., 2010). Altogether, these results suggest that a hyperexcitable neuronal network may arise in the brain as a product of a dysregulation of local neuron-astrocyte reciprocal signalling and generate a focal site of seizure initiation.

Although these observations represent significant advances in our knowledge of the possible role of astrocytes in epilepsy, many important issues need to be defined. For example, the effect on epileptiform activities of other gliotransmitters, such as ATP, α-serine and GABA, or of inflammatory agents, such as cytokines and chemokines that are potentially released by activated astrocytes, has been not specifically addressed. Notably, not necessarily all these factors have proconvulsant effects. The observations that under physiological conditions adenosine derived from astrocytic ATP leads to inhibition of transmitter release and heterosynaptic depression by acting on presynaptic A1 receptors are, indeed, consistent with an anticonvulsant role of astrocytes. Also noteworthy is that seizure induction in experimental epilepsy was found to decrease adenosine extracellular concentrations through an up-regulation of astrocytic enzyme ADK that controls ambient adenosine levels (Boison, 2005), while genetic reduction of ADK was observed to prevent seizures (Li et al., 2008). The overexpression of astrocytic ADK recently observed in hippocampal and cortical specimens from patients with temporal lobe epilepsy strengthens the hypothesis that a dysregulation of this enzyme in astrocytes is a hallmark of the chronic epileptic brain tissue (Aronica et al., 2011).

The potential impact on seizure activity of astrocytes can be even more complex if we take into account that a gliotransmitter, such as glutamate, may affect not only excitatory but also inhibitory transmission (Kang et al., 1998; Benedetti et al., 2011). It follows that the overall effect of gliotransmission on the neuronal network excitability may ultimately depend not only on the type of gliotransmitter predominantly or selectively released but also on the distinct functional connectivity between astrocytes and different types of neurons from a specific brain region. As an excessive neuronal synchrony can arise as a result of inhibitory transmission impairment, it would be of great interest to understand whether a distinct signalling pathway exists between astrocytes and different GABAAergic interneurons, and how it eventually changes in the epileptic brain tissue.

Astrocytes may contribute to epileptogenesis also through a number of different mechanisms. For example, a malfunction of the astrocytic plasma membrane glutamate transporters that critically control extracellular glutamate concentrations is a common feature in temporal lobe epilepsy. A recent study also revealed that the astrocytic plasma membrane GAT-1 (GABA transporter-1) likely has a central role in absence epilepsy (Cope et al., 2009). This form of non-convulsive epilepsy is characterized by synchronous spike-and-wave discharges arising in thalamo-cortical networks. In various well-established experimental models, the enhanced tonic inhibition, which in the ventrobasal thalamus crucially controls absence seizure generation, was regularly found to develop as a consequence of GAT-1 malfunction (Cope et al., 2009). As GAT-1 expression in the thalamus is mainly, if not exclusively, confined to astrocytes (De Biasi et al., 1998; Vitellaro-Zuccarello et al., 2003), these cells may be potential targets for the development of a new therapy in absence epilepsy.

A full clarification of the functional significance of the multiple signals that astrocytes exchange with neurons represents an intriguing challenge in the years to come. With a better understanding of astrocyte roles in both brain physiology and pathology, gliotransmission might be recognized as a target for developing new anti-epileptic therapies.

It is also worth underlining that the changes occurring in astrocyte signalling in the epileptic tissue may resemble the dysregulation of molecules and pathways that occur in other brain disorders. Indeed, astrogial GluRs and transporters, water channels (aquaporins), inward rectifier K\(^+\) channels and...
connexins were found to be dysregulated not only in epilepsy but also in motor-neuron disease, stroke, hepatic encephalopathy, schizophrenia, Huntington's disease and Alzheimer's disease (Blackburn et al., 2009; Maragakis and Rothstein, 2006; Seifert et al., 2006). All in all, these studies suggest that astrocytes might hold the key to understanding the pathogenesis of these brain disorders.

To improve our understanding we need, however, the results obtained from in vitro models to be validated in the intact brain. With the recent development of optogenetic tools to stimulate astrocytes selectively (Airan et al., 2009; Figueiredo et al., 2011; Gourine et al., 2010), and of new more sensitive, genetically encoded Ca2+ sensors, such as GCaMP3 (Shigetomi et al., 2011), we have now the opportunity to study how astrocyte Ca2+ signalling can affect network activities in the living brain.

A full clarification of the functional significance of the multiple signals that astrocytes exchange with neurons represents an intriguing challenge in the years to come. With a better understanding of the astrocyte roles in both brain physiology and pathology, gliotransmission might be recognized as a target for developing new anti-epileptic therapies.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

The intent of this review was to summarize the findings describing novel roles of astrocytes in the brain. Astrocytes can exocytotically release a variety of gliotransmitters under physiological and pathological conditions. This gliotransmission in turn can modulate synaptic transmission and plasticity and can also contribute to sleep behaviour. Although astrocytes can play a role in various disease states of the brain, as reviewed elsewhere (Verkhratsky and Parpura, 2010), we solely focused on epilepsy in which astrocytic Ca2+ homeostasis and gliotransmission can contribute to the aetiology of this disorder. Although these exciting findings shed new light on the brain operation in health and disease, featuring astrocytes as an integral component of brain's functions, they also raise many new issues that remain to be addressed. For example, how various release mechanisms for gliotransmitters operate under (patho)physiological conditions need to be systematically investigated. For vesicular gliotransmitter release alone, it would have to be determined how various gliotransmitters, individually and/or in blends, affect neurotransmission in different brain regions and account for different behaviours. It is clear at this juncture that such a colossal task would require the use of various levels of analysis, from single cells to the complex network in the living brain, and comprehensive utilization of a battery of experimental approaches, including molecular genetics, imaging, electrophysiology and behavioural testing.
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